

**Dakota 911
Board of Directors
Meeting Minutes: September 28th, 2023**

Members Present: John Bergman – Apple Valley; Mike Slavik – Dakota County; Mike Supina – Eagan; Nick Lien – Farmington; John Bermel – Lakeville; Joel Paper – Mendota Heights; Jeff Weisensel – Rosemount; Lori Hansen – South St. Paul; Pat Armon – West St. Paul

Members Absent: Cara Schulz – Burnsville; Jen Fox – Hastings; John Murphy – Inver Grove Heights

Alternates Present: Mary Fasbender - Hastings

Others Present: Logan Martin – Executive Committee Chair; Heidi Hieserich, Brent Anderson, Jen Hildebrandt – Dakota 911; Dain Olson – Dakota 911 Legal Counsel

1. Call to Order

Recognizing a quorum, Chair Weisensel (Rosemount) called the meeting to order at 8:01 am.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Roll Call

X	Apple Valley	John Bergman		Clint Hooppaw
	Burnsville	Cara Schulz		Dan Gustafson
X	Dakota County	Mike Slavik		MaryLiz Holberg
X	Eagan	Mike Supina		Gary Hansen
X	Farmington	Nick Lien		Steve Wilson
	Hastings	Jen Fox	x	Mary Fasbender
	Inver Grove Heights	John Murphy		
X	Lakeville	John Bermel		Dan Wolter
X	Mendota Heights	Joel Paper		Stephanie Levine
X	Rosemount	Jeff Weisensel		Paul Theisen
	South St. Paul	Lori Hansen		Todd Podgorski
X	West St. Paul	Pat Armon		Julie Eastman

4. Approve Agenda

Discussion:

Hieserich (Dakota 911) identified two items requested by members to add to the agenda. The first request was consideration of changing the November Board of Directors meeting date due to a conflict with the National League of Cities Conference.

Chair Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that this item would be added as item 10 under Other Business. Hieserich (Dakota 911) continued that Director Slavik had requested the addition of Board Membership for Dakota County Small Cities and Townships. Chair Weisensel (Rosemount) acknowledged that this would be added as item 9 under Information Updates and Discussion Items.

Action: Motion by Weisensel (Rosemount) to approve the modified agenda. Second by Supina (Eagan). Motion passed.

CONSENT AGENDA

5. Consent Agenda – Board of Directors Chair

- a. **Approve Minutes from the May 18th, 2023 regular meeting.**
- b. **Adopt and Ratify April, May, and June, 2023 Paid Claims.**
- c. **Receive Unaudited June, 2023 Financial Report.**
- d. **Receive Contracts and Agreements Executed between April 27th and August 30th, 2023.**

Discussion:

No discussion.

Action: Motion by Bermel (Lakeville) to approve the consent agenda. Second by Paper (Mendota Heights).

Aye	Apple Valley
	Burnsville
Aye	Dakota County
Aye	Eagan
Aye	Farmington
Aye	Hastings
	Inver Grove Heights
Aye	Lakeville
Aye	Mendota Heights
Aye	Rosemount
	South St. Paul
Aye	West St. Paul

Motion passed.

REGULAR AGENDA

Action Items

6. Approve LELS Local 336 Memorandums of Agreement

Discussion:

Hieserich (Dakota 911) explained that items 6 and 7 on the agenda was consideration of approval of Memorandums of Agreement (MOA) with LELS Labor Union #336, Dakota 911 Public Safety Telecommunicators.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the first MOA stating it was to recognize Juneteenth as a holiday in 2023. Hieserich noted that this holiday was not previously listed on the current contract. Hieserich added that the MOA was set to expire with the close of the current contract. Hieserich noted that negotiations for the next contract were underway and would include an updated holiday schedule.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the second MOA, the 3.5% market adjustment noting that this was a 1-time wage adjustment that recognized an immediate need to be more competitive in the current market. Hieserich added this was the market adjustment was part of 2024 budget discussions and had been sent out earlier in the summer in anticipation of approval at this meeting.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the third MOA and noted that it was for a Lead CTO pilot program. Hieserich commented that the Lead CTO program was expected to be renewed in the upcoming contract to allow sufficient time to evaluate program efficacy.

Supina (Eagan) referred to the Lead CTO program and asked how that was set up. Hieserich (Dakota 911) explained that this was an appointment of a current trainer into a position that, in addition to their dispatching assignment, would support the training program.

Bermel (Lakeville) inquired if the appointment was permanent. Hieserich (Dakota 911) clarified that this was not a permanent promotion but a temporary appointment that would rotate as needed based on the vision of the program. Hieserich added that no term had been set yet, but she was anticipating it could be a 1–2-year appointment.

Action: Motion by Supina (Eagan) to approve the Juneteenth, 3.5% Market Adjustment, and the Lead CTO Pilot Program Memorandums of Agreement with LELS Local 336. Second by Armon (West St. Paul).

Aye	Apple Valley
	Burnsville
Aye	Dakota County
Aye	Eagan
Aye	Farmington
Aye	Hastings
	Inver Grove Heights
Aye	Lakeville
Aye	Mendota Heights
Aye	Rosemount
	South St. Paul
Aye	West St. Paul

Motion passed.

7. Approve LELS Local 368 Memorandums of Agreement

Discussion:

Hieserich (Dakota 911) explained that item 7 was consideration of approval of two Memorandums of Agreement (MOA) with LELS Labor Union #368, Dakota 911 Public Safety Telecommunicator Supervisors.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the first MOA stating it was to recognize Juneteenth as a holiday in 2023. Hieserich noted that like the Public Safety Telecommunicator contract, this holiday was not previously listed in the current contract, was set to expire with the close of the current contract and was being considered in negotiations for the next contract.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the second MOA, the 3.5% market adjustment noting that like the Public Safety Telecommunicators, this was a 1-time wage adjustment that recognized an immediate need to be more competitive in the current market. Hieserich added this was the market adjustment was also part of 2024 budget discussions sent out earlier in the summer.

Action: Motion by Bermel (Lakeville) to approve the Juneteenth and 3.5% Market Adjustment Memorandums of Agreement with LELS Local 368. Second by Lien (Farmington).

Aye	Apple Valley
	Burnsville
Aye	Dakota County
Aye	Eagan
Aye	Farmington
Aye	Hastings
	Inver Grove Heights
Aye	Lakeville
Aye	Mendota Heights
Aye	Rosemount
	South St. Paul
Aye	West St. Paul

Motion passed.

Information Updates/Discussion Items

8. Executive Director Report

Discussion:

Hieserich (Dakota 911) noted there were several updates for the group.

911 call handling system – Hieserich (Dakota 911) reported that this project had to be rescheduled to November due to MNIT network issues.

Encryption of law radio communication – Hieserich (Dakota 911) reported that the law mains had gone encrypted on September 20th, and membership was already fielding some requests for monitoring access. Hieserich noted that working with Dakota County Attorney's Office, a response to a request from Minnesota Crime Watch had been formulated. Hieserich advised that Dakota County was not the first county to go encrypted. Hieserich added that the response to declining Minnesota Crime Watch access was consistent with other counties.

Armon (West St. Paul) inquired if there had been any court challenge on this topic yet. Hieserich (Dakota 911) noted that she was not aware of a challenge to date. Hieserich added that there were a few reasons the request was denied, including data privacy, FBI restrictions on sharing Criminal Justice Information (CJI), and responder safety. Hieserich acknowledged that the group was relying heavily on the FBI requirement and responder safety pieces.

Director Hansen (South St. Paul) arrived.

Lien (Farmington) asked if there had been any reference to the Freedom of Information Act. Hieserich (Dakota 911) clarified that information may be requested. However, the request from Minnesota Crime Watch was for live monitoring.

Metro Region CAD-to-CAD – Hieserich (Dakota 911) reported that this project was delayed when the metro region found out that the Minnesota Emergency Communications Network announced a state-wide initiative. Hieserich noted that the metro region will be engaged in the state's RFP to ensure it meets the Metro Region's needs.

Microsoft 365 – Hieserich (Dakota 911) noted that M365 was currently available to only the supervisory and administrative groups. Hieserich noted that making M365 available to all staff would support team communications within the organization. This project is underway and expected to be completed by the end of the year.

Administrative non-emergency phone outages – Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to phone outages experienced in July due to Frontier fiber cuts. Hieserich noted that these outages impacted both incoming and outgoing non-emergency calls that resulted in routing calls to Ramsey Emergency Communications Center. Hieserich assured that staff was taking this experience seriously and was working toward improved redundancy at a few levels including carrier, path, and on-site. One level was with Frontier, who had set up a local Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) support group. Another level was the engagement of a local consulting firm that had helped neighboring PSAPs to improve resiliency in their administrative call environment. Hieserich commented that the Dakota 911 legacy phone environment currently relied on

copper and PRI connectivity. Hieserich added that costs were expected to skyrocket for legacy PRI service, and that IP call delivery would help manage costs.

Armon (West St. Paul) inquired as to what constituted “skyrocketing” costs. Hieserich (Dakota 911) clarified that she was hearing costs that were more than double current monthly charges.

Hieserich stated there is no cost for the local consulting firm, Enterprise Visions, that is assisting Dakota 911 with evaluating our environment.

Legislative Funding Update – Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to previous updates that reported the State Emergency Communications Network (ECN) had set aside approximately \$7,000,000 for 911 funding; a portion of which Dakota 911 would be receiving. Hieserich noted that Dakota 911 had received a one-time appropriation of almost \$305,000. Hieserich acknowledged that there were specific requirements around what these funds could be used for, including NextGen, 911 readiness, cyber security, and call handling. Hieserich continued stating that Dakota 911 staff are working to identify potential uses for these. Hieserich noted that one qualifying expense would be cybersecurity mitigation. Dakota 911 included cybersecurity mitigation in the 2024 adopted budget, so this could provide cost savings. Hieserich advised an update would be provided at November governance meetings.

Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that \$305,000 was not a lot of money. Hieserich (Dakota 911) agreed and noted that staff was looking forward to identifying a couple of projects that could have major impacts on operations.

Public Safety Telecommunicator (PST) Certifications – Anderson (Dakota 911) referred to the May meeting when staff reported that an effort to organize a PST certification program was in the socialization phases. Anderson noted that the socialization phase was coming to an end and headed toward gathering letters of support of the program from law enforcement and fire stakeholders in anticipation of legislative session.

Weisensel (Rosemount) asked if the program had encountered any hurdles. Anderson (Dakota 911) noted that he hadn’t heard of anything related to the certification, but a couple of Sheriffs had questions about the governing body.

Supina (Eagan) referred to staffing levels and asked if staff had concerns this certification would exasperate staffing issues. Anderson (Dakota 911) noted that he didn’t think that would be an issue. Anderson explained how the requirements of the certification were already being met at Dakota 911. Anderson added that certification would not be an employment qualifier, but an outcome of paid training. Anderson reiterated that the certification would just be a formality for Dakota 911. Hieserich (Dakota 911) added that the certification would likely be a formality for most PSAPs in

Minnesota. Hieserich noted that there are best practices already in place today to ensure a baseline level of service across 911. Supina (Eagan) clarified that Dakota 911 didn't have staff today who wouldn't qualify for the certification. Anderson (Dakota 911) confirmed. Weisensel (Rosemount) commented that obtaining the certification may make people more marketable. Supina (Eagan) asked if this certification was aligned with a national standard. Hieserich (Dakota 911) confirmed stating both APCO and NENA had adopted the best practices and while there may be some differences at the local level, the "baseline/backbone" was nationwide.

Staffing Report – Hieserich (Dakota 911) reported that Dakota 911 was currently staffed at 45 of the approved 54 public safety telecommunicator positions. Hieserich noted that with 2 of the 45 in training, net staffing was currently 43. Hieserich added that two candidates were anticipated to be starting in the next month. Hieserich then informed members that since January, Dakota 911 has had 7 hires and 12 separations. Hieserich noted that three of the 12 separations were new hires that didn't finish training, and the other 9 were employees who had been actively contributing to the schedule. Hieserich continued stating that three of the 9 employees noted that their reason for leaving Dakota 911 was directly related to their experience, while two others noted their reason for leaving Dakota 911 was partially related to their experience. Hieserich acknowledged that all five of these employees cited staffing, schedules, and overtime as factors contributing to their separation. Hieserich noted that this isn't a surprise and that all public safety organizations are having staffing challenges, particularly dispatch centers. Hieserich assured that staff were working on several initiatives to help improve Dakota 911 employment experience, including consideration of part-time positions. Hieserich acknowledged numerous suggestions, and assured staff would be engaging the union group, and commented that she was hoping to have a more detailed vision or plan for part-time positions as soon as November.

Contract Negotiations – Hieserich (Dakota 911) informed the group that Dakota 911 administration and the negotiations team had met with both unions, and she was pleased to report that tentative agreements had been reached. Hieserich noted that she was optimistic that contract approvals could be considered in November. Hieserich reminded the members that the 2024 budget anticipated the need for improved market positioning. Equipped with that information, the negotiations team was able to formulate a wage adjustment strategy that will make good progress in improving our position in the wage market. Hieserich explained how the strategy split the 2024 increases in January and July for a total of 6-6.5%, with 3.5% in 2025. Most staff will see an increase of totaling 10-14% over the life of the contract, including the 3.5% adjustment just approved for September of 2023. Those at top scale received an additional 3% bump to top scale. Hieserich reminded the group that today, Dakota 911 wages were in the bottom 25% of the metro area. Hieserich also noted that there were over 90 openings for public safety telecommunicator positions in the metro area. Hieserich stated that the wage improvements identified in negotiations would put Dakota 911 in

the upper 25% of the metro area market, assuming other organizations in the area maintained status quo with where they are currently at. Hieserich assured that staff would be keeping a close eye on the metro market. Hieserich assured that with everything said and done, the increases that will be brought forward are within the parameters of the adopted 2024 budget.

Supina (Eagan) asked if the consortium had a target of where it needed to be in comparison to the metro market. Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to budget discussions where the group agreed that the target was to be in the upper 25% of the metro market. Hieserich noted that given the size and location of the organization, and call volumes it was appropriate to target being in the upper 25%. Martin (Executive Committee) commented as a member of the negotiations team, he was pleased that discussions were completed in two meetings. Martin credited how prepared Directors Hieserich and Anderson were, along with great legal representation. Martin added that the strategy mentioned, and supported by governance during budget season, let the negotiations team make some great progress. Hieserich (Dakota 911) thanked the governance group for their incredible support over the last several months.

Action: No action. Update only.

9. Dakota County Townships

Discussion:

Slavik (Dakota County) noted that he had requested this item be added to the agenda to formalize a report to the consortium on efforts Dakota County had been taking with townships and small cities in the Dakota County patrol area.

Slavik noted that like member cities, the County had public safety needs. Slavik noted that the County needs included ensuring adequate patrol for numerous townships and small cities. Slavik added that this patrol area had more CAD events than some JPA member agencies, which Dakota County had been paying for.

Paper (Mendota Heights) asked for clarification on what CAD was. Hieserich (Dakota 911) clarified that CAD stood for Computer-Aided-Dispatching. Slavik (Dakota County) continued that current member fees were based on the number of CAD events in the member jurisdiction.

Slavik (Dakota County) stated that recently, results of a five-year study administered by Dakota County identified the need for increased patrol. Slavik reminded the group that he had always been supportive of Dakota County paying for Dakota 911 dispatch center fixed costs, and that he had been working with the townships and small cities in the patrol area to identify how the added patrol costs might be covered.

Slavik (Dakota County) noted that the patrol area costs were funded by county property taxes, so not only were the agencies represented by the JPA paying their own agency fees, but they were also paying most costs associated with the patrol area. Slavik then commented on potential financial impacts to each member agency. Slavik commented that there were two main needs:

1. Address public safety needs in the patrol area, and
2. Find a way for the townships and small cities to have “more skin in the game”.

Slavik (Dakota County) stated that one solution was to open the Dakota 911 Joint Powers Agreement to allow for representation of the townships and small cities. Slavik continued, stating that the townships and small cities could pay for their own 911 fees which would allow the County to check it off the list and focus on considering what County contributions toward fixed costs might look like going forward. Slavik then informed the group that the County had already received resolutions of support of Dakota 911 membership from 17 of the 19 townships and small cities. Slavik noted that he was asking for the topic to be brought back to the Executive Committee for further conversation. Slavik acknowledged that if opening the JPA up for additional membership was recommended by the Executive Committee, it could include workgroup formation and guiding principles. However, if the recommendation was not to open the JPA to the added membership, the County would go back to the drawing board.

Supina (Eagan) asked if the County was thinking the townships and small cities would be represented by one person at the table and if so, who that would replace. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that the patrol area would have one primary and alternate on the Board, but the County was open to what that looked like. Slavik added that the County should still be a partner at the table given the fixed costs discussion.

Bergman (Apple Valley) commented that he firmly believed this item should have gone through the Executive Committee before ever being presented to the Board. Bergman added that he did not like feeling like the cities were being “held captive” because of intermingling conversations about the County’s contribution toward fixed costs. Bergman continued, stating Apple Valley and other cities had to raise their taxes to ensure support of public safety. Bergman added that public safety was not where budget cuts should be, and the County was going to have to do something to ensure things were appropriately funded.

Bermel (Lakeville) referred to the possibility of opening the JPA and asked if it was necessary. Bermel noted that he would like to see numbers. How opening the JPA might impact the County budget and the Dakota 911 budget. Bermel added that it might also be good for those who were relatively new on the Board to understand the difference between billable and non-billable CAD events. Bermel asked who would be billed if a deputy were to go to a farm in Eureka Township v. serving papers in the City

of Lakeville. Hieserich (Dakota 911) noted that the department providing the service would be billed. Bermel stated that the County was in no different boat than the cities. Bermel explained how Lakeville was hiring four law enforcement and six firefighters, and the impact on Lakeville's levy would be significant. Bermel commented that he was open to considering review by the Executive Committee, but he wasn't sure the consortium wanted to go down the road of opening the JPA up for this.

Slavik (Dakota County) responded to comments stating in his 11 years, the Sheriff's Office budget had never been cut. Slavik added that the County Board had not committed to paying anything more than 50% of fixed costs. Weisensel (Rosemount) reminded that the County had agreed to consider paying more than 50% of fixed costs if the consortium made progress on some identified caveats. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that the JPA would need to be amended again if that were agreed to and everyone would have to agree to it. Slavik then referred to other steps the County had taken to pick up costs, like road improvements. Slavik acknowledged frustrations and noted that he was equally frustrated as Dakota County discussions with townships and small cities had been going on for a year now, but only casually mentioned at Dakota 911 governance meetings. Slavik acknowledged that the effort was being done out of order and Dakota County should have ensured this topic was a formal item on the agenda.

Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that opening the JPA membership may give membership another opportunity to get the County to pay 100% of the fixed costs.

Lien (Farmington) referred to statistics Slavik referred to earlier in the discussion and asked for clarification specific to Farmington. Slavik commented that Farmington was paying 4.25% of the 3.4-million-dollar levy budget. Lien (Farmington) then inquired if Slavik expected that to come off the tax levy or if it would be repurposed. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that, while it was just his opinion, he saw that as an option to get Dakota County funding of fixed costs to 100%.

Weisensel (Rosemount) suggested consideration of going back to the legislature with a request for a taxing district. Slavik (Dakota County) commented there would need to be some changes in party affiliation.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) requested Slavik provide the group with a brief overview of the proposed timeline. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that part of the reason for the urgency was related to township elections in March, 2024. Slavik noted that townships were run a bit differently with no quorum requirements so whoever showed up at a meeting would set the budget. Slavik expressed concerns related to having to start all over with the townships. Slavik acknowledged that the cart had been put before the horse and the item needed to be vetted through the Executive Committee to determine if it was even worth investigating further. Slavik commented that it would just be one more hurdle.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) referred to the timeline that Slavik had proposed to her the previous day stating that it was her understanding Slavik had a desire for special meetings sooner than the scheduled November governance meetings. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that it may be beneficial to expedite consideration of the proposal. Weisensel (Rosemount) asked the group if there were any members sitting at the table that were saying a flat out “no” to continued discussion about the commissioner’s proposal. Hearing none, Weisensel noted that it sounded as though the group was open to giving the Executive Committee an opportunity to have a discussion. Weisensel clarified that he did not believe Dakota 911 governance needed to expedite the meeting timeline. Weisensel then clarified that there was no formalized proposal yet and asked if Director Slavik intended to prepare something. Slavik (Dakota County) responded that he would think the Executive Committee would create a proposal that would include directions on what the structure and principals would be. Weisensel then asked for feedback from the Executive Committee representative.

Martin (Executive Committee) informed the group that this topic had been brought up informally at the end of the last Executive Committee meeting. Martin noted that there was a pause of hesitation among the Executive Committee which he translated as confusion as to what adding a representative for the townships and small cities to Dakota 911’s governance would accomplish. Martin noted that it felt as though this request was racing against elections. Martin cautioned that Hieserich attended a township meeting that was somewhat aggressive, and he had concerns about how this added dynamic may impact the overall consortium. Martin also noted that he had concerns about how this informal proposal had been intertwined with discussions about the county taking over 100% of fixed costs. Slavik (Dakota County) acknowledged that Director Hieserich’s experience was with some of the “detractors”, but the resolution that the townships and small cities were considering was passed immediately by 9 of the 17 groups. Slavik clarified that it had taken a lot of effort to educate the townships and small cities on the costs associated with their public safety services, so the request for timely consideration wasn’t an election thing as much as a concern that it could require reeducation. Slavik referred to the comment about the County taking over 100% of fixed costs and reiterated that while he personally supported that direction, the County Board had not committed to taking on more than 50% of Dakota 911 fixed costs. Slavik stated that the topic would be part of November County budget discussions and the hope was progress on this topic could be clear in anticipation of that.

Weisensel (Rosemount) referred to the township elections in March and reminded that the resolutions the County already had in-hand would remain in place unless otherwise rescinded after the election. Weisensel noted that the pending elections should not cause an urgency for Dakota 911 governance. Bergman (Apple Valley) agreed, suggesting legal counsel be part of the Executive Committee discussion. Bergman encouraged that the Executive Committee come back with a sincere, not “sugar coated”

response. Bergman added that if that response is “absolutely not”, it should be relayed that way.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) informed the group that Dakota 911 would ensure this item is placed on the upcoming governance meeting agendas with background material for formal consideration.

Bergman (Apple Valley) commented that he got the impression the County was looking for action on this topic before County budget decisions at the end of the year, and that was concerning. Bergman noted this topic was too big to push that quickly.

Hieserich (Dakota 911) recapped a conversation between her and Director Slavik from the day prior, in which Slavik requested the item be added to the agenda and suggested a special meeting may be necessary in October to ensure adequate time to complete all necessary steps. Hieserich continued, stating that because the Executive Committee had not had an opportunity to consider a proposal, the topic was added as a discussion item only for this meeting. Slavik (Dakota County) noted that he was hearing clearly that this discussion was going to take more time and asked for the item to remain on the agenda for the foreseeable future. Slavik also suggested the Board consider moving their November meeting up. Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that the consideration of changing the approved November meeting date of the 16th to the 9th was going to be discussed due to a conflict on the 16th.

Slavik (Dakota County) commented that if this wasn't going to work, that was OK. However, county commissioners were hearing that the county wasn't paying enough, and the rural areas were benefiting from that. Martin (Executive Committee) cautioned that modifying the JPA to accommodate added governance representation didn't satisfy that issue. Slavik (Dakota County) clarified that adding the representative to governance would allow Dakota 911 to bill the townships and small cities directly, and those County savings could be redirected toward fixed costs.

Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that the request of the Board would be for the Executive Committee to consider the county proposal to open the JPA to inclusion of representation of the townships and small cities, which would then allow Dakota 911 to bill them directly for services, freeing up county funds for redistribution toward fixed costs. Weisensel continued that if the Executive Committee felt it was worth pursuing, it would form a workgroup to consider how it would work. Weisensel noted that if anyone on the Board of Directors was interested in participating in a workgroup, they should contact Director Hieserich or him, so the Executive Committee had a pool of interested parties to draw from. Weisensel suggested the workgroup would be 6 – 8 people. Weisensel reiterated that there would be no action at this current meeting other than affirmation that the county should provide a proposal for consideration by the Executive Committee. Slavik (Dakota County) acknowledged the recap and added that he would

also go back to the townships and small cities working group to see if there was an alternative option.

Weisensel (Rosemount) commented that from his perspective, this was a county issue that needed to be handled between the County and the townships and small cities. Weisensel added that the County already had a seat in governance and had the ability to bill townships and small cities for their portion of public safety costs. Weisensel also noted that other than being double billed, the Cities didn't care how the County handled that with the townships and small cities. Slavik (Dakota County) stated that the Commissioner would always have to represent the townships and small cities on the Board of Directors. Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that they already should.

Action: No action. Discussion only.

OTHER BUSINESS

10. November 16th Board of Directors Meeting

Discussion:

Hieserich (Dakota 911) noted that staff had been informed of a conflict between the approved November 16th Board of Directors meeting and the National League of Cities. Hieserich suggested the group consider changing the Dakota 911 November meeting date to November 9th.

Action: Motion by Slavik (Dakota County) to move the November Board of Directors meeting to Thursday, November 9th at 8:00am in the Dakota 911 Training Room. Second by Supina (Eagan).

Aye	Apple Valley
	Burnsville
Aye	Dakota County
Aye	Eagan
Aye	Farmington
Aye	Hastings
	Inver Grove Heights
Aye	Lakeville
Aye	Mendota Heights
Aye	Rosemount
Aye	South St. Paul
Aye	West St. Paul

Motion passed. A meeting date change will be sent out by staff.

ADJOURN


Action: Motion by Weisensel (Rosemount) /Bermel to adjourn. Second by Bermel (Lakeville). Motion passed. Meeting adjourned at 9:39am.

Next Meeting:
November 9th, 2023
8:00am
Dakota 911 Training Room
2860 160th Street W, Rosemount, MN 55068



Jeffery D. Weisensel, Board of Directors Chair

11/09/2023
11/09/2023



Heidi Hieserich, Executive Director

11/09/2023
11/09/2023