

**Dakota Communications Center
Board of Directors
Special Meeting Minutes: May 5th, 2022**

Members Present: John Bergman – Apple Valley; Mike Slavik – Dakota County; Mike Supina – Eagan; Katie Bernhjelm – Farmington; Jen Fox – Hastings; Rosemary Piekarski-Krech – Inver Grove Heights; Michelle Volk – Lakeville; Ultan Dugan – Mendota Heights; Jeff Weisensel – Rosemount; Lori Hansen – South St. Paul

Members Absent: Cara Schulz – Burnsville; Dick Vitelli – West St. Paul

Alternates Present: Julie Eastman – West St. Paul

Others Present: Matt Smith – Dakota County; Dan Wietecha – Hastings; Dain Olson – Dakota County Attorney’s Office; Jerilyn Erickson – Lakeville; Tom Folie, Cheryl Pritzlaff, Brent Anderson, Jen Hildebrandt – DCC

1. Call to Order

Recognizing a quorum Chair Weisensel (Rosemount) called the meeting to order at 8:02am.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

3. Roll Call

x	Apple Valley	John Bergman		Clint Hooppaw
	Burnsville	Cara Schulz		Dan Gustafson
x	Dakota County	Mike Slavik		MaryLiz Holberg
X	Eagan	Mike Supina		Gary Hansen
X	Farmington	Katie Bernhjelm		Katie Porter
x	Hastings	Jen Fox		Mary Fasbender
x	Inver Grove Heights	Rosemary Piekarski-Krech		John Murphy
x	Lakeville	Michelle Volk		John Bermel
	Mendota Heights	Ultan Duggan		Stephanie Levine
x	Rosemount	Jeff Weisensel		Paul Essler
	South St. Paul	Lori Hansen		Todd Podgorski
	West St. Paul	Dick Vitelli	x	Julie Eastman

4. Approve Agenda

Discussion:

Folie (DCC) requested the addition of Executive Director Replacement Process as an action item. Chair Weisensel (Rosemount) noted that Executive Director Replacement Process would be added to the regular agenda as action item 9.

Action: Motion by Weisensel (Rosemount) to approve the agenda with the addition of Executive Director Replacement process as action item 9. Second by Supina (Eagan). Motion. Motion passed unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

5. Consent Agenda – Board of Directors Chair

- a. Approve Minutes from the February 17th, 2022 regular meeting
- b. Receive Adopted and Ratified January, February and March 2022 Paid Claims
- c. Receive and Approve March 2022 Unaudited Financial Report
- d. Receive 2021 Audited Financial Report
- e. Approve recommendation to not waive Monetary Limit for 6/26/2022-6/26/2023
- f. Equipment disposal

Discussion: No discussion.

Action: Motion by Bernhjelm (Farmington) to approve the consent agenda as presented. Second by Slavik (Dakota County).

Aye	Apple Valley	John Bergman	Clint Hooppaw
	Burnsville	Cara Schulz	Dan Gustafson
Aye	Dakota County	Mike Slavik	MaryLiz Holberg
Aye	Eagan	Mike Supina	Gary Hansen
Aye	Farmington	Katie Bernhjelm	Katie Porter
Aye	Hastings	Jen Fox	Mary Fasbender
Aye	Inver Grove Heights	Rosemary Piekarski-Krech	John Murphy
Aye	Lakeville	Michelle Volk	John Bermel
	Mendota Heights	Ultan Duggan	Stephanie Levine
Aye	Rosemount	Jeff Weisensel	Paul Essler
	South St. Paul	Lori Hansen	Todd Podgorski
Aye	West St. Paul	Dick Vitelli	Julie Eastman

Motion passed.

REGULAR AGENDA

Action Items

6. 2023 Operating and Capital Budget

Discussion:

Folie (DCC) presented the 2023 budget for consideration stating that while the budget itself was very straight-forward, this item was tied to items 7 and 8.

Director Hansen (South St. Paul) joined the meeting.

Folie (DCC) predicted that large scale systems like telephones and CAD would all be moving to “the cloud” in this decade, and moving the 911 telephone system from in-house to the Cloud was the biggest item on the 2023 budget. Folie explained how moving the DCC 911 phone system to the Cloud allowed for greater redundancy and decreased the upfront capital costs significantly from an estimated \$500,000 to under \$110,000, excluding training and other operational costs. Folie acknowledged that moving the system to the cloud, however, increased annual operational costs and negatively impacted administrative phones. Folie explained that administrative phones were currently on the 911 system and was not very functional and not the norm for 911 centers. Folie cited how conference phones no longer worked and administrative phone capabilities were limited. Folie added that because of these issues, it was beneficial to move the administrative phones off the 911 system entirely. Folie acknowledged that members would be asked to consider amendment of the 2022 budget in a later action item to accommodate this need prior to the 911 system effort beginning in 2023.

Director Duggan (Mendota Heights) joined the meeting.

Folie (DCC) referred to the capital budget and added that in addition to the phone system expensed identified, there was the need for dispatch chair, handheld backup radios and some facility furniture replacements. Folie clarified that the dispatch chairs had been used around the clock for ten years and needed to be replaced. Folie further clarified that the portable radios did not comply with FBI encryption requirements and needed to be replaced. Folie added that some of the original facility furniture needed replacement as it was 16 years old. Folie closed his explanation of expenses stating that some of the unknowns included inflation, the LOGIS budget which was not being approved until the end of July and possible supply chain delays.

Folie (DCC) referred to member fees and explained that the proposed fees included Dakota County covering of fixed costs at 33.3%. Folie added that the forecasted 2024 budget reflected Dakota County covering 50% of fixed costs which was coming in at an estimated $\frac{3}{4}$ of a million dollars.

Folie (DCC) thanked the DCC fiscal agent and executive committee budget review sub-committee for their efforts exclaiming that the proposed budget had been recommended by the sub-committee and ultimately the Executive Committee at their April 27th, 2022 meeting. Folie stated that staff was requesting approval of resolution 2022-002, adopting the 2023 budget contingent on approval of the Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement Establishing Dakota 911.

Action: Motion by Bergman (Apple Valley) to approve Resolution 2022-002. Second by Slavik (Dakota County).

Further Discussion:

Slavik (Dakota County) thanked Staff, DCC Fiscal Agent and the sub-committee for their efforts. Slavik inquired when the last time was that the budget reflected an added a public safety telecommunicator position. Folie (DCC) speculated it was in 2018. Folie reminded members that at that time, the intent was to try and add 1/2 full-time equivalent position every year as needed. Folie commented that in looking back at a study done in 2011-2012, the FTE referred to 54 public safety telecommunicator. Folie continued, stating that this 2023 proposed budget reflected the addition of one FTE that would result in a public safety telecommunicator authorized staffing level of 54 even though the population increased significantly. Folie acknowledged that there should likely be a staffing study done again in coming years. Weisensel (Rosemount) asked if there was a calculation used to determine staffing needs based on number of calls. Folie (DCC) responded that the calculation was quite complex and had a number of contributing factors.

Slavik (Dakota County) informed members that when the initial discussions considering Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) consolidation occurred, a huge driving force was the cost savings that would be experienced as a consolidated center. Slavik commented that one of the beliefs was that a large center could do it better with fewer public safety telecommunicators. Slavik acknowledged that the belief had been supported by data that reflected how the center had maintained a minimal staffing level increase through population growth in excess of 50,000. Weisensel (Rosemount) asked if it was still believed that the savings associated with the consolidation was approximately \$1,000,000. Folie (DCC) confirmed.

Acknowledging the motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

**Item #6 - 2023 Budget Approval
Resolution 2022-002
Contingent 2023 Budget Adoption**

	Vote	Ayes	Nays
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%
Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%

Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%	
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%	
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%	
South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

Motion passed unanimously among the members in attendance.

7. 2022 Budget Amendment

Discussion:

Folie (DCC) referred back to the 2023 budget discussion reiterating the need for an amendment to the 2022 budget for administrative phone replacement. Folie clarified that this budget amendment was not conditional.

Action: Motion by Duggan (Mendota Heights) to approve resolution 2022-003 amending the 2022 budget as follows:

1. general fund in the amount of \$8,500 using fund balance reserves as the funding source,
2. capital fund in the amount of \$8,600 using fund balance reserves to cover costs of moving administrative phone lines off the 911 system prior to the 911 upgrade.

Second by Supina (Eagan).

Acknowledging the motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

Item #7a - 2022 Budget Amendment Resolution 2022-003

2022 Budget General Fund and Capital Fund Amendment to cover administration phone system costs.

Duggan/Supina

	Vote	Ayes	Nays
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%
Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%
Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%

South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

Motion passed unanimously among members in attendance.

Folie (DCC) reminded members there was the need for reimbursements for 2020 and 2021 fund balance overages. Folie clarified that reimbursements would take place in 2022 and were calculated using the same percentages used to determine member fees in each year. Folie reported that this budget amendment was not conditional since it was not related to the revised joint powers agreement. Folie reported that staff was requesting an amendment to the 2022 budget to reimburse member agencies in the amounts identified in the packet.

Action: Motion by Volk (Lakeville) to approve resolution 2022-004 amending the 2022 budget as follows:

1. General Fund revenue budget to reflect a \$1,100,000 reduction in member fee revenues.
2. Rebates to the members in the amounts below will be made by reducing member fees in the amounts listed for the remaining months of 2022.

Member	2020 Rebate	2021 Rebate	Total Rebate
Apple Valley	\$67,014	\$54,206	\$121,220
Burnsville	\$83,600	\$67,047	\$153,647
Dakota County	\$45,125	\$37,513	\$82,638
Eagan	\$94,267	\$80,718	\$174,985
Farmington	\$19,742	\$17,245	\$36,987
Hastings	\$33,893	\$27,756	\$61,649
Inver Grove Heights	\$50,341	\$44,257	\$94,598
Lakeville	\$77,957	\$63,592	\$141,549
Mendota Heights	\$16,238	\$14,867	\$31,105
Miesville	\$167	\$141	\$308
Randolph Hampton	\$307	\$264	\$571
Rosemount	\$27,913	\$23,024	\$50,937
South Saint Paul	\$42,761	\$35,935	\$78,696
West Saint Paul	\$40,674	\$33,436	\$74,110
TOTAL	\$600,000	\$500,000	\$1,100,000

Second by Piekarski-Krech (Inver Grove Heights).

Acknowledging the motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

Item #7b - 2022 Budget Amendment
Resolution 2022-004
2022 Budget Amendment to reduce and rebate 2020 and 2021
member fees

Volk/Piekarski-Krech

	Vote	Ayes	Nays	
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%	
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%	
Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%	
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%	
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%	
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%	
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%	
Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%	
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%	
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%	
South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

Motion passed unanimously among member in attendance.

Folie (DCC) noted that the third and final action needed in item 7 was a 2022 budget amendment to modify member fees since the county under the revised JPA would be taking on an additional 16.667% which had not been considered in the original budget. The reimbursement of members would be in the proportion of their contributions in 2022. Folie explained that this action would be conditional on approval of the Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement Establishing Dakota 911.

Action: Motion by Bernhjelm (Farmington) to approve resolution 2022-005 amending the 2022 budget to modify member fees contingent upon approval of the Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement Establishing Dakota 911.

Member	Increase 2022 Contribution	Decrease 2022 Contribution
Apple Valley		\$31,062
Burnsville		\$40,208
Dakota County	\$280,212	
Eagan		\$48,919
Farmington		\$11,651
Hastings		\$17,344
Inver Grove Heights		\$27,105

Lakeville		\$37,552
Mendota Heights		\$9,686
Miesville		\$89
Randolph Hampton		\$175
Rosemount		\$14,272
South Saint Paul		\$21,533
West Saint Paul		\$20,616

Second by Duggan (Mendota Heights).

Further Discussion:

Folie (DCC) clarified that once approved, reimbursements would be divided and spread over the remaining months in 2022. Erickson (DCC Fiscal Agent) added that reimbursements would be detailed in invoicing once each contingency was satisfied. Erickson clarified that fund balance reimbursements would likely start in July and member fee modifications would begin once the JPA had been finalized.

Recognizing a motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

**Item #7c - 2022 Budget Amendment
Resolution 2022-005**

**2022 Budget Amendment to modify member fees contingent upon
approval of the Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement
Establishing Dakota 911.**

Bernhjelm/Duggan

	Vote	Ayes	Nays	
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%	
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%	
Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%	
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%	
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%	
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%	
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%	
Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%	
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%	
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%	
South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

Motion passed unanimously among members present.

8. Joint Powers Agreement and Process

Discussion:

Folie (DCC) referred to the red-lined copy of the current JPA in member packets. Folie explained that there were two main areas being revised in the document, the name change and the cost allocation change for fixed costs. Folie explained that the sub-committee had met and reviewed the document at length over a year ago and revisited it again in early 2022. Folie added that the document had been reviewed and updated as discussed after the February governance meetings. Folie commented that it was his understanding that the County Board of Commissioners had agreed to the revisions in the document. Folie noted that at their April 27th meeting, the Executive Committee requested the DCC Board of Directors consider this item as the result of discussions that lead the Committee to believe there may be one organization that would recommend that their city council not sign the revised document. Folie reviewed the potential savings that would be experienced by all member cities as the result of signing the revised agreement over the course of three years.

Bergman (Apple Valley) requested some commentary from Dakota County Commissioner Slavik and Dakota County Administrator Smith.

Slavik (Dakota County) reflected on how original consolidation efforts were the result of member agency desires to benefit from cost savings that would not otherwise be available to the individual PSAPS. Slavik acknowledged that not only was the formation of the JPA difficult because the previous PSAPs were well-run machines, but JPAs are inherently difficult because they require compromise. Slavik informed members that this was the third time he had been a member of the DCC Board of Directors and some of the current discussions were the same as what had been identified years prior.

1. Who was going to run the organization,
2. Who was going to pay for the organization

Slavik acknowledged that in approximately 2017, member cities stated that they didn't want to run the organization any more and that the County should take over costs and management. Slavik added that the County standpoint was that there was a JPA in place and that the County did not want to take over management of the organization. Slavik noted that in about 2019 – 2020, the topic resurfaced and there was a discussion that resulted in formation of a sub-committee to discuss the County taking a greater role in paying for costs. Slavik acknowledged that dispatch centers were expensive and some of the costs were necessary, regardless of the number of calls an organization responded to. Slavik added that just because a community had more calls, that did not necessarily mean they should pay more toward the necessary, or "fixed" costs inherent of dispatch center technology. Slavik reminded that this argument gained momentum

stating that these types of costs should be spread across the whole county. Slavik noted that the sub-committee goal was to figure out a way to get to the County covering 100% of costs. Slavik added that Dakota County membership on the Board supported bringing the discussion back to the Dakota County Board of Commissioners for consideration. Slavik noted that the Board agreed to up to 50% of fixed costs over three years, and put some conditions on continuing discussions after that time to cover up to 100% of fixed costs. Slavik acknowledged that some of the conditions may have been arbitrary and the County Board was working through them. Slavik noted that at least two of the conditions, in his mind, seemed to be resolved already. These conditions included streamlining of governance and increased operational efficiencies. Slavik speculated that maybe DCC Director partners, councilmembers and commissioners, weren't clear that these two points had already been discussed. Slavik acknowledged that the Dakota County Board response wasn't perfect. However, the Board had committed to pay up to 50% over three years, and entertain conversations for more after that time. Slavik acknowledged that the Board of Commissioners vote was 5 to 2 in favor of the current discussion and the 2 descending votes wanted stronger conditions in place.

Slavik commented that the effort had the support of the Dakota County Board of Commissioners, and he was confident there would be continuing support to further the discussions to get to 100% in coming years.

Smith (Dakota County) recapped the discussion at the Executive Committee level stating that there had been different hopes for how much and how fast change could happen. Smith noted that the effort put into place some real concrete steps over the next couple of years, and set up for additional discussions 2025 and beyond. Smith assured that the County Board had locked the current anticipated costs into the county budget already. Smith commented that change through JPAs was difficult, but that there had been a lot of positive progress. Smith thanked DCC Fiscal Agent and members of the sub-committee for all the time they contributed toward the effort.

Supina (Eagan) noted that while the desire was to continue to pursue the County covering 100% of fixed costs, he was supportive of the progress so far. Weisensel (Rosemount) agreed noting that some of the rebates had already been issued.

Duggan (Mendota Heights) referred to reference to MN law identified on page 85 of the packet and noted that there were three other areas in the document that may need to also include reference to MN law. Duggan added that Article 8 referred to "local" review and asked if that meant "member" as he hadn't seen reference to "local" elsewhere in the document. Supina (Eagan) commented that he could not provide an answer, but clarified that both references were from the original approved JPA document and were not new revisions. Smith (Dakota County) agreed stating that the changes to the JPA had been very modest and included only allocation formula and name changes. Folie

(DCC) noted that there would be another chance to look at the JPA in coming years as the operations sub-committees wanted to eliminate the Joint Operations Committee from the document and replace it with their separate Fire/EMS and Law Enforcement Committees. Slavik (Dakota County) commented that those changes seemed to be a good fit for inclusion in the next round of conversation because they spoke to the streamlining and operational efficiency assurances the Dakota County Board desired.

Duggan (Mendota Heights) referred to article 9 and suggested “discharge” be replaced with “dispense”.

Fox (Hastings) thanked membership for the historical recap for the newer members on the Board. Fox agreed with Director Supina stating that this seemed to be a great stepping stone. Fox then requested clarification on the specific conditions the County Board wanted, in order to consider increasing contributions toward fixed costs beyond the 50%. Folie (DCC) responded that the County Board cited increased efficiencies, which were already underway with streamlined meetings. Folie stated that the reduction in time/effort spent was significant. Folie continued stating that the County wanted increased control over the fixed costs since they were contributing more. He added that voting on budgets was based on the contribution so the County would inherently have more control over that.

Slavik (Dakota County) noted that the third item was governance at two levels: 1. Internal and 2. Operational control. Slavik acknowledged that internal governance had already been resolved as discussed through streamlining of governance. Slavik referred to the operational control and stated that the County wanted to put an end to the push for the County to take over operational control. Slavik noted that the County did not want this discussion to keep coming up. Slavik recognized that in his position as a commissioner, he also represented three of the member organizations at the table at the county level. Slavik stated that a consultant engaged a number of years ago stated that operational feedback was stating there were too many meetings and there was the need for streamlining. Slavik acknowledged that outside of putting it in writing, representation has been streamlined. Slavik noted that the only thing left to figure out is what County discretion on fixed costs looked like. Slavik acknowledged that some of the issues the DCC was experiencing was battle wounds that County had experienced in dealing with the Transit Board. Slavik noted that was likely some of the hesitancy. Smith (Dakota County) noted that on practical thing would be to make sure someone from the County was always on the executive committee budget workgroup to ensure the capital budget remained realistic. Slavik added that the current board members would likely be amenable to starting the discussion sooner rather than later. Slavik clarified that discussions and satisfaction of the conditions didn't have to wait for 2025. Weisensel (Rosemount) commented that membership was going to keep this topic front and center to help ensure success in coming years. Weisensel noted that this is an incremental step toward the ultimate goal and it was right for everyone, particularly

Dakota County residents.

Slavik (Dakota County) reiterated that as a commissioner, he represented three entities at the table today. Slavik expressed the importance of each City representative to be visiting with their commissioner, making sure the commissioners are aware of the efficiencies that have taken place.

Bergman (Apple Valley) reiterated that membership was at the table because it was believed a consolidation could run an already expensive effort better as a group. Bergman reminded members that at the table, they are government representatives and government was slow. Bergman commented that yes, he wanted to get to 100%. However, it would take time and right now, in the current arena, the County commitment needed to be accepted. Bergman noted that he had been in the arena for a long time and everyone understood how Dakota County operated. Bergman noted that representation needed to bring the discussion back to their organizations for a vote, and while the internal vote didn't need to be unanimous, the revised JPA membership approval needed to be unanimous. Bergman noted that this was a drastic improvement.

Weisensel (Rosemount) questioned, presuming the JPA revisions receive the approval needed, what the responsibility was of member communities. Folie (DCC) responded stating that ultimately, it didn't matter what the DCC Board members said as it was the Councils and Board of Commissioner as individual entities whose action was required to proceed. However, the Executive Committee had requested discussion and support from the DCC Board that could be passed on to the city councils. Folie noted that member administrators had already received clean copies of the revised JPA, and would be receiving a sample resolution. Folie reminded that it took signing by all members to be approved and the hope was to have it finalized by mid-summer. Weisensel (Rosemount) commented that hopefully before next time the group met, all members would have acted. Weisensel encouraged all members to go back to their councils expressing their support, and also make sure their Administrators get the item on their respective agendas for action.

Action: Motion by Duggan (Mendota Heights) to recommend approval of the Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement Establishing Dakota 911. Second by Supina (Eagan).

Recognizing a motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

Item #8 - JPA Endorsement

2022 Budget Amendment to modify member fees contingent upon approval

Duggan/Supina

	Vote	Ayes	Nays	
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%	
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%	
Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%	
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%	
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%	
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%	
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%	
Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%	
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%	
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%	
South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

9. Executive Director Retirement

Folie (DCC) noted that with his announced retirement in January, 2023, there was the need for the Board to give the Executive Committee Chair the authority to engage a search firm for his replacement. Folie explained that the previous search took eight months and the search firm cost approximately \$22,000. Weisensel (Rosemount) congratulated Folie and thanked him for the lengthy notice.

Action: Motion by Eastman (West St. Paul) to direct the Executive Committee to identify search firms, request proposals, engage a firm and develop a process at a cost not to exceed \$25,000. Second by Duggan (Mendota Heights).

Recognizing a motion on the table, Chair Weisensel called for a vote.

Item #8a EC Authorization

2022 Budget Amendment to modify member fees contingent upon approval

Eastman/Duggan

	Vote	Ayes	Nays
Apple Valley	Aye	10.29%	0.00%
Burnsville		0.00%	0.00%

Dakota County	Aye	7.19%	0.00%	
Eagan	Aye	16.20%	0.00%	
Farmington	Aye	3.86%	0.00%	
Hastings	Aye	5.74%	0.00%	
Inver Grove Heights	Aye	8.98%	0.00%	
Lakeville	Aye	12.44%	0.00%	
Mendota Heights	Aye	3.21%	0.00%	
Rosemount	Aye	4.73%	0.00%	
South St. Paul	Aye	7.13%	0.00%	
West St. Paul	Aye	6.83%	0.00%	
Total		86.60%	0.00%	87%

Information Updates/Discussion Items

9. Executive Director Report

Discussion:

Operations Director Appointment – Folie (DCC) noted that this would be Cheryl Pritzlaff’s final Board of Directors meeting as she was retiring the end of July. Folie introduced Brent Anderson, DCC Public Safety Telecommunicator, who would be replacing Pritzlaff in July.

Supervisory Promotions – Folie (DCC) noted that there had been two recent promotions. Folie noted that Jim Zgoda and Kristina Morales would be promoted to Public Safety Telecommunicator Supervisors in June. Folie thanked Apple Valley Fire Chief Russell and Rosemount Police Chief Dahlstrom for participating on the interview panel. Folie acknowledged that the panel had a tough task as they had interviewed seven very capable telecommunicators.

National Telecommunicator’s Week – Folie (DCC) thanked membership for all the support received during National Telecommunicator’s Week. Folie displayed some pictures from the week of celebration. Folie thanked Eagan for lighting the Sperry Tower in support of staff.

COVID Directives – Folie (DCC) informed members that all COVID directives had ended and staff was glad to be back to normal.

Goals Update – Folie (DCC) provided members a brief update on 2022 goals progress.

Action: No motion. Discussion only.


10. Other Business

Bergman (Apple Valley) recognized and thanked Cheryl Pritzlaff for her 30+ years of public service to the citizens of Dakota County.

ADJOURN

Action: Motion by Duggan (Mendota Heights) to adjourn. Second by Slavik (Dakota County). Motion passed unanimously by members in attendance. The meeting adjourned at 9:14am.

**Next Regular Meeting:
September 15th, 2022
8:00am
DCC Training Room**



Jeff Weisensel, Board of Directors Chair

9.15.22
09/15/2022



Tom Folie, Executive Director

9-15-2022
09/15/2022